



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,371	12/13/2006	Stephane Paul	1032751-000123	7331

21839 7590 12/05/2008  
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC  
POST OFFICE BOX 1404  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404

EXAMINER
----------

MERTZ, PREMA MARIA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1646

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

12/05/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/565,371	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PAUL, STEPHANE	
	<b>Examiner</b> Prema M. Mertz	<b>Art Unit</b> 1646	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Prema M. Mertz (Primary Examiner). (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Lisa Stahl (Attorney). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
           c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
       If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 17-25, 28, 29, 45 and 47.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The 35 USC 112, first paragraph, 35 USC 112, second paragraph and 35 USC 102 rejections over the claims were discussed. Attorney would submit a supplemental amendment to obviate these rejections and render the claims allowable.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Prema Mertz/  
 Primary Examiner